



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,084	03/22/2004	Kelly M. Christensen	STRATOS.006A	1383
	7590 04/12/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET			SMITH, SHEILA B	
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	NOTIFICATION DATE DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/12/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/12/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·	10/806,084	CHRISTENSEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sheila B. Smith	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 D</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 and 34-37 is/are pending in the state 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-33 and 38-43 is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 and 34-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	re withdrawn from consideration		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	0 □ •	· (DTO 440)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/806,084

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Henrick (U.S. Patent Number 6,507,727).

Regarding claim 1, Henrick discloses all of the claimed invention as set forth in the instant application, additionally Henrick discloses a method for responding to a broadcast, the method comprising: extracting an event identifier from a broadcast signal (block 312); detecting a response by a user to the broadcast signal (block 402); polling a communications device to determine a user identifier (block 402); communicating the event identifier (block 406) and the user identifier (block 408) when the user response is detected (as exhibited in figure 4, and which reads on column 5 lines 11-47).

Regarding claim 2, Henrick discloses everything claimed as applied above additionally, Henrick discloses the user response corresponds to the user tuning into a broadcast frequency (which reads on column 2 lines 60-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/806,084

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 3, Henrick discloses everything claimed as applied above additionally, Henrick discloses a comprising communicating a time corresponding to a time of broadcast (which reads on column 1 lines 20-47).

Regarding claim 4, Henrick discloses everything claimed as applied above additionally, Henrick discloses comprising communicating a time corresponding to a time of user response (which reads on column 1 lines 20-47).

Regarding claim 5, Henrick discloses everything claimed as applied above additionally, Henrick discloses the user identifier corresponds to a network address (which reads on column 5 lines 11-47).

Regarding claim 6, Henrick discloses everything claimed as applied above additionally, Henrick discloses the user identifier corresponds to a telephone number (which reads on column 5 lines 11-47).

Regarding claim 7, Henrick discloses everything claimed as applied above additionally, Henrick discloses the user identifier corresponds to a credit card (which reads on column 5 lines 11-47).

Regarding claim 8, Henrick discloses everything claimed as applied above additionally, Henrick discloses the user identifier corresponds to a vehicle identification number (which reads on column 5 lines 11-47).

Regarding claim 9, Henrick discloses everything claimed as applied above additionally, Henrick discloses the communications device is wireless (which reads on column 1 lines 20-47).

Regarding claim 10, Henrick discloses everything claimed as applied above additionally, Henrick discloses the polling occurs over a wireless network (which reads on column 5 lines 11-47).

Regarding claim 11, Henrick discloses everything claimed as applied above additionally, Henrick discloses using the communicated event identifier to identify an event in a database (which reads on column 5 lines 11-47).

Regarding claim 34, Henrick discloses everything claimed as applied above additionally, Henrick discloses a method for tracking user response to a broadcast, the method comprising: broadcasting at least one event identifier over a subcarrier channel (block 312); receiving at least one data packet from a broadcast receiver in response to the at least one broadcast event identifier (block 402); and providing a summary of the received at least one data packet (as exhibited in figure 4, and which reads on column 5 lines 11-47).

Regarding claim 35, Henrick discloses everything claimed as applied above additionally, Henrick discloses the at least one data packet was received without user initiation (which reads on column 5 lines 11-47).

Regarding claim 36, Henrick discloses everything claimed as applied above additionally, Henrick discloses the at least one data packet is tracked according to user, and a user is rewarded for the receipt of the at least one data packet (which reads on column 5 lines 11-47).

Regarding claim 37, Henrick discloses everything claimed as applied above additionally, Henrick discloses the at least one data packet was forwarded by a first user to a second user, and the first user is rewarded for the receipt of the at least one data packet (which reads on column 5 lines 11-47).

Application/Control Number: 10/806,084

Art Unit: 2617

Page 6

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheila B. Smith whose telephone number is (571)272-7847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sheila Smith 5 Smarch 25, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER